Original research

Gastrointestinal syndromes preceding a diagnosis of Parkinson's disease: testing Braak's hypothesis using a nationwide database for comparison with Alzheimer's disease and cerebrovascular diseases

Bo Konings ⁽¹⁾, ¹ Luisa Villatoro, ² Jef Van den Eynde, ³ Guillermo Barahona, ² Robert Burns, ⁴ Megan McKnight, ⁴ Ken Hui, ⁴ Gayane Yenokyan, ⁵ Jan Tack, ¹ Pankaj Jay Pasricha²

ABSTRACT

Objective Braak's hypothesis states that Parkinson's disease (PD) originates in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, and similar associations have been established for Alzheimer's disease (AD) and cerebrovascular diseases (CVD). We aimed to determine the incidence of GI syndromes and interventions preceding PD compared with negative controls (NCs), AD and CVD.

Design We performed a combined case-control and cohort study using TriNetX, a US based nationwide medical record network. Firstly, we compared subjects with new onset idiopathic PD with matched NCs and patients with contemporary diagnoses of AD and CVD, to investigate preceding GI syndromes, appendectomy and vagotomy. Secondly, we compared cohorts with these exposures to matched NCs for the development of PD, AD and CVD within 5 years.

Results We identified 24 624 PD patients in the case-control analysis and matched 18 cohorts with each exposure to their NCs. Gastroparesis, dysphagia, irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) without diarrhoea and constipation showed specific associations with PD (vs NCs, AD and CVD) in both the case-control (odds ratios (ORs) vs NCs 4.64, 3.58, 3.53 and 3.32, respectively, all p<0.0001) and cohort analyses (relative risks (RRs) vs NCs 2.43, 2.27, 1.17 and 2.38, respectively, all p<0.05). While functional dyspepsia, IBS with diarrhoea, diarrhoea and faecal incontinence were not PD specific, IBS with constipation and intestinal pseudo-obstruction showed PD specificity in the case-control (OR 4.11) and cohort analysis (RR 1.84), respectively. Appendectomy decreased the risk of PD in the cohort analysis (RR 0.48). Neither inflammatory bowel disease nor vagotomy were associated with PD.

Conclusion Dysphagia, gastroparesis, IBS without diarrhoea and constipation might specifically predict Parkinson's disease.

INTRODUCTION

Parkinsonism is a clinical syndrome characterised by bradykinesia, rest tremor, rigidity and postural instability.¹ Its most common cause is Parkinson's disease (PD), the pathological hallmark of which is thought to be cytoplasmatic eosinophilic Lewy

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ Braak's hypothesis states that Parkinson's disease (PD) originates in the gut in a subset of patients, but no studies to date have systematically investigated a broad range of gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms and diagnoses before a diagnosis of PD.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ This is the first multicentre study to establish that dysphagia, gastroparesis, constipation and irritable bowel syndrome without diarrhoea specifically increase the risk of a subsequent new onset diagnosis of idiopathic Parkinson's disease, even compared with other neurological diseases, such as Alzheimer's disease and cerebrovascular diseases.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

⇒ Early detection of GI syndromes might contribute to the identification of patients at risk of PD during a phase were disease modifying therapies could prevent the progression of α -synuclein pathology.

body (LB) depositions. These depositions, mainly consisting of misfolded α -synuclein, have not only been found in the CNS but also in the vagus nerve and enteric nervous system (ENS) of patients with PD.¹

These findings led Braak *et al* to state the neuroanatomical hypothesis that α -synuclein pathology progresses from peripheral sites such as the ENS to the CNS via vagal or olfactory pathways, thereby introducing the concept that the gastrointestinal (GI) tract might serve as a gateway for environmental factors that induce α -synuclein misfolding and lead to PD.² A large body of evidence has since then accumulated to support this claim. Even in early untreated stages of the disease, neuropathological studies have found that α -synuclein concentrations in the ENS of patients with PD were higher than those of otherwise healthy individuals, in a

¹Translational Research Centre for Gastrointestinal Disorders (TARGID). KU Leuven University

► Additional supplemental

material is published online

only. To view, please visit the

journal online (http://dx.doi.org/

10.1136/gutinl-2023-329685).

(TARGID), KU Leuven University Hospitals, Leuven, Belgium ²Department of Medicine. Mayo Clinic Arizona, Scottsdale, Arizona, USA ³Department of Cardiology, KU Leuven University Hospitals, Leuven, Belgium ⁴Department of Gastroenterology, Johns Hopkins Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA ⁵Department of Biostatistics. Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

Correspondence to

Dr Pankaj Jay Pasricha, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic Arizona, Scottsdale AZ 85259, Arizona, USA; Pasricha Jay@mayo.edu

Received 10 February 2023 Accepted 2 July 2023 Published Online First 24 August 2023

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Konings B, Villatoro L, Van den Eynde J, *et al. Gut* 2023;**72**:2103–2111.



characteristic rostrocaudal gradient following visceromotor projections of the vagus nerve.³⁻⁵ Complementary studies have shown that various motility disorders^{5–7} and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)⁸ can precede PD and therefore may be risk factors for its development. Moreover, since Gray and colleagues⁹ first identified the vermiform appendix as a potential source of misfolded a-synuclein, conflicting observational studies have been published about the impact of an appendicectomy on the risk of idiopathic PD.⁹⁻¹⁴ Finally, two recent registry based studies have strengthened the concept of retrograde vagal α -synuclein propagation by showing that a truncal vagotomy might be protective against the development of PD.¹⁵¹⁶ Apart from the bottom up link formulated by Braak, a top down aetiology in which GI symptoms are present in early phases when neurological manifestations are still unnoticed is also supported by experimental evidence.¹ Even if no causal link exists, GI syndromes might still represent a risk factor through other mechanisms, or both might be related to a yet unknown third factor.

Apart from PD, other neurological disorders have also been hypothesised to have GI precedents, either through similar neuroimmune pathways or translocation of microbiome derived neurotoxins into the CNS.¹⁷ A strong pathological link between microbiome derived neurotoxins, including *Escherichia coli* derived Lipopolysaccharide, has been established with disrupted intestinal cell adhesion, impaired synaptic signalling in the Alzheimer's disease (AD) brain and exacerbation of inflammatory neuropathology.¹⁸ ¹⁹ Additionally, given the prominent role of reactive oxygen species induced inflammation in cerebrovascular diseases (CVD),²⁰ proinflammatory intestinal²¹ and extraintestinal²² diseases have been linked to a higher risk of CVD than that predicted by conventional risk factors.²⁰

Previous studies on this topic have been limited by small sample sizes and inadequate controls. Therefore, we used a nationwide electronic health record (EHR) network to investigate the incidence of various GI syndromes and interventions, such as appendectomy and vagotomy, before the onset of PD. Because previous studies lacked specificity for exposures associated with PD, we used a case-control study design to compare patients with PD not only with negative controls (NCs), but also with patients diagnosed with AD and CVD. Additionally, we established a cohort study design for each exposure in the casecontrol design to validate these findings and establish relative risk (RR) estimates relevant in clinical practice.

METHODS

Study design and data source

To investigate the association between various GI syndromes and interventions with the subsequent development of new onset PD, we analysed electronic medical records from the TriNetX Analytics Research Network (Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA). At the moment of data collection, the network consisted of more than 80 million patients from 57 predominantly academic medical centres in the USA. Additional information can be found in the online supplemental methods.

Study population and variables of interest

In the case control analysis, we examined the incidence of exposures retrospectively (ie, before an initial diagnosis of PD compared with matched controls). Patients with PD were captured using a previously validated method.²³ Patients were queried using the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th revision (ICD-10) diagnosis of PD (G20), if documented between 1 January 2005

and 1 July 2021; the first ever diagnosis was used as the index event. Only those with at least two prescriptions of an antiparkinsonian drug and a documented ambulatory visit at least 2 years before the first diagnosis of PD were included; secondary causes of PD were excluded. To determine PD specific exposures, control subjects comprised three groups: NCs, and patients with a diagnosis of AD and CVD. NCs consisted of patients without a recorded ICD-10 diagnosis of PD, with at least two documented ambulatory visits between the ages of 50 and 90 years, at least 2 years apart, recorded between 1 January 2005 and 1 July 2021. A minimum of 2 years of retrospective follow-up was ensured by using the second of these visits as the index event. Similarly, 2 years of follow-up was ensured for the AD and CVD groups, and the first ever documented respective ICD-10 diagnosis in the medical records after 1 January 2005 was chosen as the index event. In a pairwise fashion, these groups where then matched to the PD group for age, sex, race and ethnicity using a propensity score matching algorithm.

To cover the entirety of the GI tract, 18 exposures were investigated: achalasia, dysphagia, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD), gastroparesis (GP), functional dyspepsia (FD), paralytic ileus (PI), diarrhoea, irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) with constipation (IBS-C), IBS with diarrhoea (IBS-D), IBS without diarrhoea, intestinal pseudo-obstruction (approximate synonym of K59.8: other specified functional GI disorders), faecal incontinence (FI), Crohn's disease (CD), ulcerative colitis (UC), microscopic colitis (MC), appendectomy and vagotomy. We conducted additional sensitivity analyses in the network, which included stratified analyses based on sex and age at the diagnosis of the index event. A detailed breakdown of the query, inclusion and exclusion criteria, stratified analyses and coding can be found in the online supplemental methods.

To validate the results from the case-control analyses, we set up a complementary cohort study design. Eighteen cohorts, each diagnosed with one of the investigated exposures in the casecontrol analysis, were queried and compared with a respective NC cohort (ie, without the exposure) for the prospective risk of developing PD, AD or CVD. Only those with at least 5 years of prospective follow-up were included, and were propensity score matched for age, sex, race and ethnicity, and additionally for a set of potential risk factors and risk modifiers for the development of PD, AD and CVD: arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, atrial fibrillation and flutter, and nicotine dependence.

Statistical analysis

In the case-control analyses, patients were counted as positive for an exposure if the respective ICD-10 code was documented any time before the first diagnosis of PD or the control health event. To approximate the diagnostic interval between each exposure and the first PD diagnosis, a yearly cross sectional prevalence for each exposure was calculated for the PD and NC groups, up to 6 years before the index event. To detect and quantify potential surveillance bias in our case-control analyses, we collected an agnostic set of negative exposures (Charlson comorbidities). This allowed us to determine the OR that should be considered as indicative of no association. Additionally, we collected positive exposures based on a previous case-control study that identified prodromal motor and non-motor symptoms of PD.²⁴ This enabled us to assess the ability of our dataset to reproduce existing associations. The coding can be found in the online supplemental methods.

In the cohort analyses, patients diagnosed with the exposure of interest and their NCs were counted as positive for an outcome

Table 1	Baseline characteristics for sub	ects with PD and controls in the case-control	analyses after pairwise matching.
---------	----------------------------------	---	-----------------------------------

	Patients (n (%))							
Characteristic *	Parkinson's disease (n=24624)	Negative controls (n=24624)	Parkinson's disease (n=19046)	Alzheimer's disease (n=19046)	Parkinson's disease (n=23942)	Cerebrovascular diseases (n=23 942)		
Age								
Age (years) (mean (SD))	70.8 (8.49)	70.3 (8.68)	72.7 (7.93)	73.4 (7.99)	70.8 (8.51)	70.6 (8.68)		
Sex								
Men	14254 (57.89)	14254 (57.89)	9948 (52.23)	9979 (52.39)	13874 (57.95)	13874 (57.95)		
Women	10103 (41.03)	10103 (41.03)	8869 (46.57)	8835 (46.39)	9801 (40.94)	9801 (40.94)		
Unknown	267 (1.08)	267 (1.08)	229 (1.2)	232 (1.22)	267 (1.12)	267 (1.12)		
Race								
White	20476 (83.15)	20476 (83.15)	15 458 (81.16)	15 461 (81.18)	19906 (83.14)	19906 (83.14)		
Black or African American	1294 (5.26)	1294 (5.26)	1166 (6.12)	1176 (6.17)	1182 (4.94)	1182 (4.94)		
Other and unknown†	2854 (11.59)	2854 (11.59)	2425 (12.73)	2413 (12.67)	2856 (11.93)	2854 (11.92)		
Ethnicity								
Hispanic or Latino	939 (3.81)	939 (3.81)	810 (4.25)	806 (4.23)	942 (3.93)	942 (3.93)		
Not Hispanic of Latino	19746 (80.19)	19746 (80.19)	15 419 (80.96)	15 411 (80.91)	19771 (82.58)	19771 (82.58)		
Unknown	3939 (16)	3939(16)	2817 (14.79)	2829 (14.85)	3229 (13.49)	3229 (13.49)		

*Characteristics were identified using electronic medical health record data from the TriNetX Research Network. Baseline characteristics before matching can be found in online supplemental table 1, and p values and standardised mean differences between groups in online supplemental table 2.

†Includes Asian, American Indian, Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific islander, or unknown.

(PD, AD or CVD) if the respective new onset ICD-10 diagnosis occurred within a 5 year follow-up. Subjects who already had the outcome of interest before the index event were excluded after propensity score matching.

Exposures and outcomes were collected as absolute numbers; ORs and RRs were calculated with 95% CIs. Standardised mean differences (SMDs) were used to compare baseline characteristics; an SMD of <0.2 was considered well balanced. A Pearson χ^2 test was calculated to compare outcomes, and a two sided P value of <0.05 was used to indicate statistical significance. Correction for false discovery rate (FDR) was performed using the step up procedure by Benjamini and Yekutieli, with the Stats package in R (V.4.3.0).²⁵

RESULTS

For the case control-study, 24624 patients with PD met all of the criteria and were matched with 8 267 744 NCs, and 36187 AD and 528 207 CVD patients, giving 24624 patients in the comparison with NCs, 19046 with AD and 23942 with CVD. Baseline characteristics after pairwise matching are presented in table 1; minimal differences in age at index persisted. SMDs and p values before and after matching can be found in online supplemental tables 1,2.

The results of the case-control analyses are presented in figure 1 and online supplemental table 3. All GI syndromes were significantly increased in the PD group compared with NCs (OR >1; p<0.05). However, only dysphagia (OR 3.58), GP (OR 4.64), FD (OR 3.39), intestinal pseudo-obstruction (OR 3.01), diarrhoea (OR 2.85), constipation (OR 3.32), IBS-C (OR 4.11), IBS-D (OR 4.31), IBS without diarrhoea (OR 3.53) and FI (OR 3.76) gave ORs that were numerically greater than the upper limit of the negative exposures (OR range 1.20-2.79; online supplemental tables 4–6 and online supplemental figures 1–3). Furthermore, only dysphagia, GP, IBS-C, IBS without diarrhoea and constipation were specific for PD (OR >1; p < 0.05) when compared with both the AD and CVD group. After correcting for FDR, GP and constipation did not remain significantly different (p>0.05) compared with the CVD and AD groups, respectively. Other exposures were not only significantly associated with PD,

but also showed strong associations with the AD or CVD group. For example, FI appeared to be equally increased before AD, and diarrhoea was even more increased before the onset of both AD and CVD.

For FD, IBS-D and intestinal pseudo-obstruction, the risk of PD, AD and CVD did not differ significantly (p>0.05). The remaining exposures, including achalasia (OR 1.92), GORD (OR 2.18), PI (OR 2.63), CD (OR 1.99), UC (OR 1.87), MC (OR 2.19) and appendectomy (OR 2.40) showed positive associations with PD compared with NCs, but gave ORs below the upper limit of what is expected by surveillance bias (OR range 1.20–2.79). Only for GORD and appendectomy we observed significant differences compared with AD (OR 1.14, p<0.0001) and CVD (OR 0.57, p=0.03), respectively. The latter did not remain significant after correction for FDR (p=0.21). Prior vagotomy did not impact the risk of PD.

For GP, women were approximately twice as likely as men to develop PD (OR 7.3 for women, 3.05 for men, both p < 0.0001 (online supplemental tables 7–9 and online supplemental figure 4), and the OR for GP was especially high for early onset PD (online supplemental tables 10–11). Exclusion of previous anti-dopaminergic drug use did not significantly alter any associations with PD compared with NCs (online supplemental table 12 and online supplemental figure 5). The ORs of all PD specific exposures were positioned well within the range of those of established motor and non-motor prodromes of PD (ie, positive exposures; OR PD vs NCs 2.04–7.41). An approximation of the diagnostic interval for each exposure can be found in online supplemental figure 6.

Figure 2 and online supplemental tables 13–14 show the results of the cohort analyses. A significantly increased RR of new onset PD (RR >1; p<0.05) was found after a diagnosis of dysphagia (RR 2.27), GORD (RR 1.13), GP (RR 2.43), FD (RR 1.15), intestinal pseudo-obstruction (RR 1.84), diarrhoea (RR 1.32), constipation (RR 2.38), IBS without diarrhoea (RR 1.17) and FI (RR 1.74); all except for FD (p=0.09) remained significant after correction for FDR. However, only for dysphagia, GP, intestinal pseudo-obstruction, IBS without diarrhoea and constipation was this RR numerically higher than the RR of developing AD and

Exposureª	Favours control	Favours PD		OR (95% CI) ^b	P-value [°]	P-value (Corrected)
Achalasia 🛛 🗖 PC	D versus NCs D versus AD ├─E D versus CVD ├─	╞╾┥	4	1.92 (1.18 – 3.12) 1.03 (0.66 – 1.59) 1.21 (0.79 – 1.86)	.007 .91 .38	.03 1 1
Dysphagia			┝╋┥	3.58 (3.28 – 3.90) 1.31 (1.22 – 1.41) 1.34 (1.25 – 1.43)	<.0001 <.0001 <.0001	<.0001 <.0001 <.0001
Gastro-oesophagea reflux disease	al I	•		2.18 (2.08 – 2.28) 1.14 (1.09 – 1.20) 0.96 (0.92 – 1.00)	<.0001 <.0001 .12	<.0001 <.0001 .75
Gastroparesis		├ ─ -┤ ├ ─ -┤	┝──■>	4.64 (3.39 – 6.34) 1.46 (1.15 – 1.85) 1.30 (1.06 – 1.59)	<.0001 .002 .01	<.0001 .02 .11
Functional dyspeps	ia I	⊢ ਮ ਮ	╼╶┤	3.39 (2.83 – 4.05) 1.02 (0.89 – 1.17) 1.03 (0.91 – 1.17)	<.0001 .75 .66	<.0001 1 1
Intestinal pseudo- obstruction*	⊢ ⊢∎	₽──┤ ₽─┤	P	3.01 (1.83 – 4.93) 1.25 (0.84 – 1.86) 1.07 (0.75 – 1.52)	<.0001 .27 .72	<.0001 1 1
Paralytic ileus	H Hei	∎⊣ ⊢-∎-		2.63 (1.96 – 3.54) 1.16 (0.90 – 1.49) 0.78 (0.63 – 0.97)	<.0001 .25 .02	<.0001 1 .2
Diarrhoea		ŀ₽	ł	2.85 (2.64 - 3.09) 0.89 (0.84 - 0.95) 0.85 (0.80 - 0.90)	<.0001 .001 <.0001	<.0001 .01 <.0001
Constipation	¢		┝▆┥	3.32 (3.09 – 3.56) 1.07 (1.01 – 1.13) 1.19 (1.13 – 1.26)	<.0001 .04 <.0001	<.0001 .3 <.0001
IBS with constipation	on F			4.11 (2.06 – 8.20) 1.95 (1.10 – 3.44) 1.67 (1.01 – 2.77)	<.0001 .02 .05	<.0001 .15 .3
IBS with diarrhoea	H H	⊢ 		4.31 (2.84 – 6.56) 1.14 (0.84 – 1.53) 1.13 (0.86 – 1.49)	<.0001 .4 .37	<.0001 1 1
IBS without diarrho	ea**	ei Ei		3.53 (3.05 – 4.09) 1.19 (1.06 – 1.34) 1.28 (1.15 – 1.43)	<.0001 .003 <.0001	<.0001 .02 .0001
Fecal incontinence	Þ	I H⊡-I	┝──╋──┤	3.76 (3.06 – 4.61) 0.96 (0.83 – 1.11) 1.41 (1.22 – 1.64)	<.0001 .58 <.0001	<.0001 1 .0001
Crohn's disease	HE	-∎ - -		1.99 (1.51 – 2.63) 1.02 (0.79 – 1.32) 1.05 (0.83 – 1.32)	0001.0001.9.68	<.0001 1 1
Ulcerative colitis	H u He	┝╼╋╾┥ ₽┥ ₽┤		1.87 (1.46 – 2.38) 1.08 (0.85 – 1.38) 1.03 (0.84 – 1.27)	<.0001 .54 .75	<.0001 1 1
Microscopic colitis	⊢-i	├───┣ ┣ ──┤ ┝──┤		2.19 (1.21 – 3.96) 1.14 (0.69 – 1.90) 1.00 (0.63 – 1.60)	.008 .61 1	.03 1 1
Appendectomy		 	\longrightarrow	2.40 (1.15 – 5.02) 1.15 (0.63 – 2.09) 0.57 (0.35 – 0.94)	.02 .65 .03	.07 1 .21
Vagotomy				1.00 (0.42 - 2.40) 1.00 (0.42 - 2.40) 1.00 (0.42 - 2.40)	1 1 1	1 1 1
	0 1 OR (9	2 3 5% Cl)	4 5	i		

Figure 1 Case-control analyses. Odds ratios (ORs) of previous exposures in patients with Parkinson's disease (PD) compared with matched negative controls (NCs), or patients with Alzheimer's disease (AD) and cerebrovascular diseases (CVD). ^aIn the case-control analysis, the incidence of exposures was examined retrospectively (ie, before an initial diagnosis of PD compared with matched NCs, and AD and CVD patients). Patients were diagnosed with PD or the respective control health event between 1 January 2005 and 1 July 2021, and NCs were queried using two ambulatory visits during the same time window. Patients and exposures were identified with the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th revision (ICD-10) codes, and Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes, using electronic medical health record data from the TriNetX research network (online supplemental methods). Exposures were included if they were documented any time before the diagnosis of PD or the control health event, in the available medical records. ^bORs were calculated as follows: odds of documented exposure in the PD cohort/odds of documented exposure in the control cohort. Absolute rates can be found in online supplemental table 3. ^cP values were calculated using a Pearson χ^2 test, after matching for baseline characteristics (ie, age, sex, race and ethnicity). ^dCorrection for false discovery rate was performed using the step up procedure by Benjamini and Yekutieli, with the Stats package in R. *The term intestinal pseudo-obstruction was used as an approximate synonym for the ICD-10 code K58.9 IBS without diarrhoea. IBS, irritable bowel syndrome.

Exposure ^a Favours	no exposure Favours exposure	RR (95% CI) ^b	P-value [°]	P-value (Corrected)
Achalasia Parkinson's di Achalasia Alzheimer's di cerebrovascul	sease	1.52 (0.94 – 2.47) 1.04 (0.61 – 1.78) 1.09 (0.95 – 1.25)	.09 .89 .24	.48 1 .67
Dysphagia	⊢∎⊣ ₩	2.27 (2.1 – 2.45) 1.55 (1.43 – 1.69) 1.48 (1.45 – 1.51)	<.0001 <.0001 <.0001	<.0001 <.0001 <.0001
Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease	L	1.13 (1.09 – 1.18) 1.18 (1.13 – 1.24) 1.38 (1.36 – 1.4)	<.0001 <.0001 <.0001	<.0001 <.0001 <.0001
Gastroparesis		2.43 (1.92 – 3.09) 1.69 (1.25 – 2.28) 1.84 (1.74 – 1.95)	<.0001 .001 <.0001	<.0001 .001 <.0001
Functional dyspepsia		1.15 (1.0 – 1.32) 1.17 (1.01 – 1.34) 1.08 (1.05 – 1.12)	.05 .03 <.0001	.09 .09 <.0001
Intestinal pseudo- obstruction*		1.84 (1.18 – 2.87) 1.28 (0.79 – 2.07) 1.31 (1.16 – 1.49)	.006 .32 <.0001	.02 .59 .002
Paralytic ileus		1.02 (0.83 – 1.26) 1.18 (0.94 – 1.48) 1.22 (1.15 – 1.29)	.85 .15 <.0001	1 .4 <.0001
Diarrhoea		1.32 (1.23 – 1.4) 1.68 (1.57 – 1.8) 1.37 (1.35 – 1.39)	<.0001 <.0001 <.0001	<.0001 <.0001 <.0001
Constipation		2.38 (2.24 – 2.54) 1.97 (1.85 – 2.1) 1.42 (1.39 – 1.44)	<.0001 <.0001 <.0001	<.0001 <.0001 <.0001
IBS with constipation		1.0 (0.42 – 2.41) 1.0 (0.42 – 2.39) 1.3 (0.95 – 1.78)	.99 1 .12	1 1 .64
IBS with diarrhoea		1.04 (0.71 – 1.53) 1.07 (0.75 – 1.54) 1.07 (0.96 – 1.18)	.84 .71 .24	1 1 1
IBS without diarrhoea**		1.17 (1.05 – 1.3) 1.15 (1.02 – 1.29) 1.1 (1.07 – 1.13)	.006 .02 <.0001	.02 .05 <.0001
Fecal incontinence		1.74 (1.48 – 2.05) 1.76 (1.51 – 2.05) 1.32 (1.25 – 1.38)	<.0001 <.0001 <.0001	<.0001 <.0001 <.0001
Crohn's disease	┝╋┤ ┝╋┤ ┉	0.91 (0.72 – 1.15) 1.22 (0.93 – 1.62) 1.2 (1.12 – 1.28)	.44 .16 <.0001	.81 .43 <.0001
Ulcerative colitis		0.91 (0.73 – 1.13) 1.08 (0.82 – 1.41) 0.99 (0.93 – 1.06)	.4 .58 .8	1 1 1
Microscopic colitis		0.73 (0.34 – 1.6) 1.58 (0.91 – 2.71) 1.26 (1.05 – 1.52)	.43 .1 .02	.8 .28 .11
Appendectomy		0.48 (0.22 - 1.01) 1.09 (0.48 - 2.47) 0.87 (0.73 - 1.04)	.05 .83 .14	.27 1 .37
Vagotomy		– 1.0 (0.43 – 2.34) 1.1 (0.5 – 2.43)	- 1 .81	- 1 1

Figure 2 Cohort analyses. Relative risks (RRs) of developing Parkinson's disease (PD), Alzheimer's disease (AD) or cerebrovascular diseases (CVD) within 5 years of the diagnosis of a given exposure, compared with negative control (NCs) without the respective exposure. ^aFor each analysis, a cohort of patients identified by the diagnosis of a given exposure was compared with their respective NCs for the prospective risk of PD, AD and CVD within 5 years of the index event (ie, diagnosis of the given exposure, or a visit for NCs). After propensity score matching, patients that already had the investigated outcome (ie, PD, AD or CVD) documented before the index event were excluded from the analysis. Exposures and outcomes were identified using the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th revision (ICD-10,) and Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes. Electronic medical health record data were collected from the TriNetX research network. Diagnostic coding can be found in the online supplemental methods. ^hRRs were calculated as follows: risk of outcome in the exposure cohort/risk of outcome in the control cohort. Absolute rates can be found in online supplemental table 13. ^cP values were calculated with a Pearson χ^2 test, after matching for baseline characteristics and risk factors. Baseline characteristics included age, sex, race and ethnicity; risk factors included arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, atrial fibrillation and flutter, and nicotine dependence. ^dCorrection for false discovery rate was performed with the step up procedure by Benjamini and Yekutieli, using the Stats package in R. *The term IBS not otherwise specified is commonly used as an approximate synonym for the ICD-10 code K58.9 IBS without diarrhoea. IBS, Irritable bowel syndrome.

CVD, and statistical significance (p < 0.05) was achieved only for constipation and dysphagia (online supplemental table 15). In line with the case control analyses, FI equally increased the risk of PD and AD (PD: RR 1.74; AD: RR 1.76, both p < 0.0001), and in the GORD cohort the RR of developing CVD was higher than that of PD (CVD: RR 1.38; PD: RR 1.13, both p < 0.0001). FD and diarrhoea were associated with all three disorders ($p \le 0.05$), and PI, CD and MC only increased the risk of CVD (RR 1.22, p < 0.0001; RR 1.20, p < 0.0001; RR 1.26, p = 0.02, respectively). Although no specific association was found in the case-control analysis, appendectomy significantly reduced the risk of developing PD (RR 0.48, p = 0.05), which did not remain significant after correction for FDR. For all other exposures (ie, achalasia, UC, IBS-C, IBS-D and vagotomy), no significant associations were found.

DISCUSSION

We used a nationwide EHR network to comprehensively investigate disorders across the entire GI tract before a diagnosis of PD. We used two complementary study designs to establish that dysphagia, gastroparesis, constipation and IBS without diarrhoea specifically increase the risk of a subsequent new onset diagnosis of idiopathic PD, even compared with other neurological diseases, such as AD and CVD.

Surveillance bias is an inherent problem in observational studies. When not addressed appropriately, it can compromise the validity of causal inference and lead to irreproducible results.²⁶ A broader implementation of empirical approaches to evaluate and correct for the presence of systematic error in observational studies is needed.²⁷ Therefore, we set up an approach to understand the true extent of surveillance bias in our study and its potential contribution to implicating premorbid factors for PD. Hence we collected data on all diagnoses included in the Charlson comorbidity index. These premorbid conditions were considered as a comprehensive set of agnostic negative exposures. In our case-control study, we observed statistically significant increases in most of these exposures in PD cases compared with NCs, but not compared with AD and CVD (online supplemental figure 1). To establish whether these increases represented surveillance bias or true associations (although unlikely based on the current literature), we investigated the same exposures for other neurological disorders (AD and CVD) compared with their NCs (online supplemental figure 3). Since the same

significant correlations emerged, surveillance bias was likely. Subsequently, we determined the range of ORs that should be expected if they are a result of surveillance bias alone. These ORs ranged between 1.20 and 2.79 in the analysis of PD with NCs. To determine whether existing associations with PD could be replicated with ORs greater than those of negative exposures, we also collected prodromal motor and non-motor symptoms of PD (ie, positive exposures). These resulted in ORs ranging between 2.04 and 7.41 (online supplemental figure 1).

Having established a measure of surveillance bias in the casecontrol study, we then determined ORs for the putative GI pre/ comorbidities of PD. Relative to the upper limit of the negative exposures, GI exposures fell into two categories. First were those for which the ORs overlapped with the ORs expected for surveillance bias (OR 1.20–2.79). For these exposures, including achalasia, GORD, PI, IBD (ie, CD, UC, and MC), appendectomy and vagotomy, we cannot be confident that these were true associations, although within the constraints of our study we cannot categorically state that they were not. Second were those for which the ORs were clearly higher than the ORs expected for surveillance bias (OR > 2.79). For these exposures, including dysphagia, GP, FD, intestinal pseudo-obstruction, diarrhoea, constipation, IBS-C, IBS-D, IBS without diarrhoea and FI, we can confidently state to have established significant associations with new onset PD. To determine the specificity of the identified significant exposures for PD in the case-control analyses, we subsequently compared subjects with PD with subjects with AD and CVD. Only dysphagia, GP, constipation, IBS without diarrhoea and IBS-C remained specific for PD compared with both neurological diseases (table 2). Importantly, we cannot exclude the possibility that these factors might still be associated with these diseases, although at a smaller scale. Similarly, while other exposures were not specific for PD (ie, FD, intestinal pseudoobstruction, diarrhoea, IBS-D and FI), we cannot strictly exclude the possibility that these conditions might still be risk factors for PD.

Finally, to validate these findings both in terms of their significance and specificity and establish one RR estimate of developing PD after the diagnosis of each exposure, we set up a complementary cohort study. Here, five exposures (ie, dysphagia, GP, IBS without diarrhoea, intestinal pseudo-obstruction and constipation) significantly increased the risk of PD and resulted in RRs that were numerically greater than those of AD and CVD

Table 2	Summary	of Parkinson's disease specific exposures in the case-control and co	ohort studies

Outcome summary								
Case-control study	Cohort study							
Exposures associated with a	OR of developing PD compared with:		Exposures associated with a	RR of developing:				
significantly increased OR of developing PD, compared with				significantly increased RR of developing PD†, higher than		·		
NCs, AD and CVD*	NCs	AD	CVD	that of AD and CVD‡	PD	AD	CVD	
Dysphagia	3.58 (3.28–3.90)	1.31 (1.22–1.41)	1.34 (1.25–1.43)	Dysphagia§	2.27 (2.10–2.45)	1.55 (1.43–1.69)	1.48 (1.45–1.51)	
Gastroparesis	4.64 (3.39–6.34)	1.46 (1.15–1.85)	1.30 (1.06–1.59)	Gastroparesis	2.43 (1.92–3.09)	1.69 (1.25–2.28)	1.84 (1.74–1.95)	
Constipation	3.32 (3.09–3.56)	1.07 (1.01–1.13)	1.19 (1.13–1.26)	Constipation§	2.38 (2.24–2.54)	1.97 (1.85–2.10)	1.42 (1.39–1.44)	
IBS without diarrhoea¶	3.53 (3.05–4.09)	1.19 (1.06–1.34)	1.28 (1.15–1.43)	IBS without diarrhoea	1.17 (1.05–1.30)	1.15 (1.02–1.29)	1.10 (1.07–1.13)	
IBS with constipation	4.11 (2.06–8.20)	1.95 (1.10–3.44)	1.67 (1.01–2.77)	Intestinal pseudo-obstruction**	1.84 (1.18–2.87)	1.28 (0.79–2.07)	1.31 (1.16–1.49)	

*OR PD versus NCs and PD versus AD and PD versus CVD >1; p<0.05.

tRR PD >1; p<0.05.

‡RR PD>RRAD and RR PD>RR CVD.

§The RR of PD was significantly greater that the RR of AD and CVD (p<0.05).

¶The term IBS not otherwise specified is commonly used as an approximate synonym for the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th revision (ICD-10) code K58.9 IBS without diarrhoea.

**'The term intestinal pseudo-obstruction was used as an approximate synonym for the ICD-10 code K59.8 other specified functional intestinal disorders.

AD, Alzheimer's disease; CVD, cerebrovascular diseases; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; NC, negative control; OR, odds ratio; PD, Parkinson's disease; RR, relative risk.

(table 2). Four of these provide internal validation for PD specific exposures identified in the case-control analysis. These exposures are thus very unlikely to be a result of selection or surveillance bias and can therefore be considered the most significant findings from our study. Minor discrepancies can be explained by intrinsic differences in the study designs.

The consistent correlation between constipation and PD (RR 2.38, 95% CI 2.24 to 2.54) confirms an abundance of existing literature. Previous reports have stated that constipation can even precede PD by up to 20 years.²⁸ More surprising is the strong association for dysphagia (RR 2.27, 95% CI 2.10 to 2.45), which has so far mainly been reported after its diagnosis.¹ The prevalence of oesophageal dysmotility in PD has been shown to be as high as 80% when using objective measures,²⁹ but a delay in oropharyngeal transit has also been found in drug naïve and subjectively asymptomatic phases of the disease.^{30 31} While evidence supports that oropharyngeal function might be affected through brainstem and cortical areas,^{6 29} post mortem studies by Mu et al showed that pharyngeal muscles, sensory neurons and motor neurons are also often affected by LB pathology in PD.^{32 33} Interestingly, the highest RR was observed for GP (RR 2.43, 95% 1.92 to 3.09), a disorder characterised by delayed gastric emptying (GE) in the presence of symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, early satiety, postprandial fullness, belching and bloating, and the absence of mechanical obstruction.³⁴ As Braak et al hypothesised,³⁵ the multitude of gastric vagal connections makes GP an especially promising candidate as a biomarker of PD.⁶

Furthermore, despite considerable overlap in symptoms and pathology,³⁶ the lack of PD specificity for FD suggests that an established delay in GE in the presence of symptoms is more strongly associated with PD than symptoms alone, assuming that ICD codes are taken at face value. This indicates that objective changes in enteric physiology may provide a more reliable measure for evaluating enteric involvement in PD. Although the prevalence of delayed GE in PD has been reported to range from 70% to 100%,³⁷ reports of GP preceding PD remain anecdotal.³⁷ Because of its relatively low prevalence, it should not be a surprise that our study is the first to provide observational evidence that GP and dysphagia might precede PD.⁵⁶ More established is the association of IBS with the subsequent development of PD.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ IBS-C and IBS without diarrhoea were both specifically increased in the case-control analysis, but only the latter was replicated in the cohort analysis (RR 1.17, 95% CI 1.05 to 1.3). Importantly, a Swedish study revealed that although the positive predictive value of ICD-10 codes for IBS is generally high (80–95%), their accuracy in indicating specific subtypes was considerably lower (55-67%).⁴¹ Nevertheless, increased intestinal permeability constitutes a core pathophysiological mechanism in a major subset of IBS patients,⁴² and has also been found in PD.³⁸ Routine colonoscopies for clinically suspect IBS could become important to determine the presence of LB pathology in patients at risk for PD, only if intestinal LB pathology becomes an established biomarker, which until now it has not.

Although anorectal symptoms are among the most frequent GI symptoms in PD, our data suggest that the presence of FI might not distinguish between the development of PD and other neurodegenerative diseases.⁴³ Even if not prodromal to AD, our findings support the fact that the progression of cognitive decline in AD is frequently unmasked by FI.⁴⁴ While diarrhoea and FD increased the risk of all three diseases, intestinal pseudo-obstruction showed specificity for PD in the cohort analyses (RR 1.84, 95% CI 1.18 to 2.87). This disorder, characterised by impaired peristalsis and presumably caused by a neuropathy or

myopathy, has been described in various neurological disorders, including PD. 45

Finally, some exposures in the case-control analyses gave ORs in the range of those expected from surveillance bias. These exposures included achalasia, GORD, PI, IBD (ie, CD, UC, and MC), appendectomy and vagotomy. Other than for GORD, the cohort analyses also did not show any significant associations with PD for these exposures. While IBD has been linked to PD in various observational^{46 47} and genetic studies,⁴⁸ neither of our study designs supported this link. However, we cannot strictly dismiss the possibility of an association based on this empirical surveillance bias cut-off and a relatively limited follow-up. In addition, we were unable to assess the impact of anti-tumour necrosis factor (anti-TNF) therapy exposure, which has been hypothesised to decrease the risk of PD.⁴⁹ Prospective studies are necessary to investigate this association and to establish whether anti-TNF therapy can effectively protect against PD. Notably, concordant with an earlier study that linked reflux oesophagitis to an increased risk of stroke and transient ischaemic attack in patients with atrial fibrillation,²¹ the risk of CVD in our study was significantly greater after a diagnosis of GORD, CD and MC. These findings suggest that a better understanding of the link between GI inflammation and cerebrovascular events may lead to improved risk stratification and identification of new preventive strategies.²¹

After Grey *et al* first discovered that α -synuclein was most abundant in the appendiceal mucosa,⁹ conflicting evidence has emerged about the impact of an appendectomy on PD risk. While three studies did not find any association,^{11 14 50} one abstract reported an increased risk of PD¹³ and two large observational studies supported a protective effect.^{10 12} Despite our limited follow-up and sample size compared with the aforementioned studies, we observed a relative risk reduction of 52% in our cohort analysis, while the case-control analysis was likely underpowered to detect any consistent association for appendectomy. Multiple studies suggest that the appendix constitutes a prominent source of seeding competent pathologically folded α -synuclein,¹⁰ and houses bacteria capable of releasing inflammatory mediators.¹⁰ The subsequent migration of α -synuclein to the CNS has been substantiated by studies showing a protective effect of a truncal vagotomy on PD development.¹⁵¹⁶ Compared with these reports, our study was underpowered to detect any consistent associations for vagotomy.¹⁵¹⁶

Finally, we attempted to assess the proximity of each diagnosis to the diagnosis of PD in the case-control study (online supplemental figure 5). We found that the OR for dysphagia and constipation decreased considerably as the distance from the diagnosis of PD increased, while the OR for GP and IBS without diarrhoea remained relatively constant. This suggests that differences in lead time exist, but future longitudinal population based studies will be crucial to determine whether these PD specific GI syndromes are part of the early manifestation of PD or truly precede the disease. Importantly, the combination of two complementary study designs reduced the potential for selection bias. The case-control analysis consisted of patients with PD, AD or CVD without the requirement of any previous exposure, while the cohort analyses consisted of patients with newly diagnosed GI exposures without the requirement of a subsequent PD, AD or CVD diagnosis. This study is subject to intrinsic limitations of EHR data, including unknown completeness of records and absent validation of diagnoses. The multicentre character and inclusion of racially and ethnically diverse subjects ensured that these results are generalisable to patients at academic medical centres across the USA.

CONCLUSION

This study is the first to establish substantial observational evidence that the clinical diagnosis of not only constipation, but also dysphagia, GP and IBS without diarrhoea might specifically predict the development of PD, whereas other exposures were less specific. An appendectomy appeared protective, leading to further speculation about its role in PD pathophysiology. These findings warrant alertness for GI syndromes in patients at higher risk for PD and highlight the need for further investigation of GI precedents in AD and CVD. To establish a stronger body of clinicopathological evidence, we advocate for future studies to assess the sensitivity and specificity of these disorders and their clinicopathological correlates for the early detection of neuropathology.

Acknowledgements BK and JV were supported by a fellowship of the Belgian American Educational Foundation (BAEF). Parts of this work have been presented as a conference abstract at Digestive Disease Week 2022, San Diego, California, USA (doi: 10.1016/S0016-5085(22)60469-4).

Contributors BK: conceptualisation, methodology, formal analysis, data curation, validation, visualisation, writing-original draft, and writing-review and editing. LV: conceptualisation, resources, and investigation. JV: conceptualisation, methodology, and visualisation. GB: conceptualisation, resources, and investigation. RB: conceptualisation, resources, and investigation. MM: conceptualisation. KH: conceptualisation, methodology, and validation. GY: methodology and formal analysis. JT: writing-review and editing. PJP: guarantor, supervision, conceptualisation, methodology, validation, and writing-review and editing.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request. Data exported from TriNetX were saved in Excel files and archived. Every co-author affiliated to Johns Hopkins University was granted access to the TriNetX Research network by the Institute of Clinical and Translational Research (ICTR). The online supplemental materials contain an extensive list of tables representing the original data; researchers will be granted access to the original aggregated data upon reasonable request, with agreement of the corresponding author (PJP).

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

ORCID iD

Bo Konings http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3889-6853

REFERENCES

- Travagli RA, Browning KN, Camilleri M. Parkinson disease and the gut: new insights into pathogenesis and clinical relevance. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2020;17:673–85.
- 2 Hawkes CH, Del Tredici K, Braak H. A timeline for Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2010;16:79–84.
- 3 Lebouvier T, Neunlist M, Bruley des Varannes S, *et al*. Colonic biopsies to assess the neuropathology of Parkinson's disease and its relationship with symptoms. *PLoS One* 2010;5:e12728.
- 4 Shannon KM, Keshavarzian A, Mutlu E, et al. Alpha-synuclein in colonic submucosa in early untreated Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 2012;27:709–15.
- 5 Cersosimo MG, Raina GB, Pecci C, et al. Gastrointestinal manifestations in Parkinson's disease: prevalence and occurrence before motor symptoms. J Neurol 2013;260:1332–8.
- 6 Fasano A, Visanji NP, Liu LWC, et al. Gastrointestinal dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. Lancet Neurol 2015;14:625–39.

- 7 Jost WH. Gastrointestinal dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Sci 2010;289:69–73.
- 8 Brudek T. Inflammatory bowel diseases and Parkinson's disease. *J Parkinsons Dis* 2019;9:S331–44.
- 9 Gray MT, Munoz DG, Gray DA, et al. Alpha-synuclein in the appendiceal mucosa of neurologically intact subjects. Mov Disord 2014;29:991–8.
- 10 Killinger BA, Madaj Z, Sikora JW, et al. The vermiform appendix impacts the risk of developing Parkinson's disease. Sci Transl Med 2018;10:eaar5280.
- 11 Marras C, Lang AE, Austin PC, et al. Appendectomy in mid and later life and risk of Parkinson's disease: A population-based study. Mov Disord 2016;31:1243–7.
- 12 Mendes A, Gonçalves A, Vila-Chã N, et al. Appendectomy may delay Parkinson's disease onset. Mov Disord 2015;30:1404–7.
- 13 Sheriff MZ, Mansoor E, Cooper GS. 739 Parkinson's disease is more prevalent in patients with Appendectomies: A national population-based study. *Gastroenterology* 2019;156:S–1409.
- 14 Svensson E, Horváth-Puhó E, Stokholm MG, et al. Appendectomy and risk of Parkinson's disease: A nationwide cohort study with more than 10 years of follow-up. *Mov Disord* 2016;31:1918–22.
- 15 Liu B, Fang F, Pedersen NL, et al. Vagotomy and Parkinson disease: A Swedish registerbased matched-cohort study. *Neurology* 2017;88:1996–2002.
- 16 Svensson E, Horváth-Puhó E, Thomsen RW, et al. Vagotomy and subsequent risk of Parkinson's disease. Ann Neurol 2015;78:522–9.
- 17 Zhong S-R, Kuang Q, Zhang F, et al. Functional roles of the microbiota-gut-brain axis in Alzheimer's disease: implications of gut microbiota-targeted therapy. *Transl Neurosci* 2021;12:581–600.
- 18 Zhao Y, Cong L, Lukiw WJ. Accumulates in neocortical neurons of Alzheimer's disease (AD) brain and impairs transcription in human neuronal-glial primary co-cultures. *Front Aging Neurosci* 2017;9:407.
- 19 Pogue AI, Jaber VR, Sharfman NM, et al. Downregulation of neurofilament light chain expression in human neuronal-glial cell co-cultures by a microbiome-derived lipopolysaccharide-induced miRNA-30B-5P. Front Neurol 2022;13:900048.
- 20 Pawluk H, Woźniak A, Grześk G, et al. The role of selected pro-inflammatory cytokines in pathogenesis of ischemic stroke. Clin Interv Aging 2020;15:469–84.
- 21 Jiang Y, Damiris K, Suero-Abreu G, et al. Reflux esophagitis is associated with higher risks of acute stroke and transient ischemic attacks in patients hospitalized with atrial fibrillation: A nationwide inpatient sample analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2021;100:e26502.
- 22 Liliang P-C, Liang C-L, Lu K, et al. Population-based study suggests an increased risk of Alzheimer's disease in Sjögren's syndrome. *Clin Rheumatol* 2018;37:935–41.
- 23 Alonso A, Rodríguez LAG, Logroscino G, et al. Gout and risk of Parkinson disease: a prospective study. *Neurology* 2007;69:1696–700.
- 24 Schrag A, Horsfall L, Walters K, et al. Prediagnostic presentations of Parkinson's disease in primary care: a case-control study. *Lancet Neurol* 2015;14:57–64.
- 25 Benjamini Y, Yekutieli D. The control of the false discovery rate in multiple testing under dependency. *Ann Statist* 2001;29:24.
- 26 Lipsitch M, Tchetgen Tchetgen E, Cohen T. Negative controls: a tool for detecting confounding and bias in observational studies. *Epidemiology* 2010;21:383–8.
- 27 Schuemie MJ, Ryan PB, DuMouchel W, et al. Interpreting observational studies: why empirical calibration is needed to correct P-values. *Stat Med* 2014;33:209–18.
- 28 Savica R, Carlin JM, Grossardt BR, et al. Medical records documentation of constipation preceding Parkinson disease: A case-control study. *Neurology* 2009;73:1752–8.
- 29 Suttrup I, Warnecke T. Dysphagia in Parkinson's disease. *Dysphagia* 2016;31:24–32.
- 30 Polychronis S, Dervenoulas G, Yousaf T, et al. Dysphagia is associated with presynaptic dopaminergic dysfunction and greater non-motor symptom burden in early drug-naive Parkinson's patients. PLoS One 2019;14:e0214352.
- 31 Noyce AJ, Silveira-Moriyama L, Gilpin P, *et al.* Severe dysphagia as a presentation of Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 2012;27:457–8.
- 32 Mu L, Sobotka S, Chen J, et al. Altered pharyngeal muscles in Parkinson disease. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 2012;71:520–30.
- 33 Mu L, Sobotka S, Chen J, et al. Alpha-synuclein pathology and axonal degeneration of the peripheral motor nerves Innervating pharyngeal muscles in Parkinson disease. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 2013;72:119–29.
- 34 Masaoka T, Tack J. Gastroparesis: Current concepts and management. *Gut Liver* 2009;3:166–73.
- 35 Braak H, de Vos RAI, Bohl J, et al. Gastric alpha-synuclein immunoreactive inclusions in Meissner's and Auerbach's plexuses in cases staged for Parkinson's disease-related brain pathology. *Neurosci Lett* 2006;396:67–72.
- 36 Pasricha PJ, Grover M, Yates KP, et al. Functional dyspepsia and gastroparesis in tertiary care are interchangeable syndromes with common clinical and pathologic features. *Gastroenterology* 2021;160:2006–17.
- 37 Heetun ZS, Quigley EMM. Gastroparesis and Parkinson's disease: a systematic review. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2012;18:433–40.
- 38 Zhang X, Svn Z, Liv M, et al. Association between irritable bowel syndrome and risk of Parkinson's disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Neurol 2021;12:720958.
- 39 Lai S-W, Liao K-F, Lin C-L, et al. Irritable bowel syndrome correlates with increased risk of Parkinson's disease in Taiwan. Eur J Epidemiol 2014;29:57–62.

- 40 Yoon SY, Shin J, Heo S-J, *et al*. Irritable bowel syndrome and subsequent risk of Parkinson's disease: a nationwide population-based matched-cohort study. *J Neurol* 2022;269:1404–12.
- 41 Tornkvist NT, Backman A-S, Linder M, et al. Identification of irritable bowel syndrome in the Swedish National Patient Register: a validation study. Scand J Gastroenterol 2023;58:709–17.
- 42 Camilleri M, Gorman H. Intestinal permeability and irritable bowel syndrome. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2007;19:545–52.
- 43 Skjærbæk C, Knudsen K, Horsager J, et al. Gastrointestinal dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. J Clin Med 2021;10:493.
- 44 Drennan VM, Rait G, Cole L, *et al*. The prevalence of Incontinence in people with cognitive impairment or dementia living at home: a systematic review. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2013;32:314–24.

- 45 Gabbard SL, Lacy BE. Chronic intestinal pseudo-obstruction. *Nutr Clin Pract* 2013;28:307–16.
- 46 Park S, Kim J, Chun J, et al. Patients with inflammatory bowel disease are at an increased risk of Parkinson's disease: A South Korean nationwide population-based study. JCM 2019;8:1191.
- 47 Zhu F, Li C, Gong J, *et al*. The risk of Parkinson's disease in inflammatory bowel disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Dig Liver Dis* 2019;51:38–42.
- 48 Herrick MK, Tansey MG. Is Lrrk2 the missing link between inflammatory bowel disease and Parkinson's disease? NPJ Parkinsons Dis 2021;7:26.
- 49 Camacho-Soto A, Searles Nielsen S, Racette BA. Inflammatory bowel disease and risk of Parkinson's disease in Medicare beneficiaries. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 2018;57:77.
- 50 Yilmaz R, Bayram E, Ulukan Ç, et al. Appendectomy history is not related to Parkinson's disease. J Parkinsons Dis 2017;7:347–52.